

ADELAIDE INSTITUTE

PO Box 3300
Adelaide 5067
Australia
Mob: 61+401692057
Email: info@adelaideinstitute.org
Web: <http://www.adelaideinstitute.org>

Online
ISSN 1440-9828



December 2014 No 833

Christmas & New Year Greetings from Director Peter Hartung

22 December 2014

Dear Friends,

December has brought an especially bright and cheerful end to the year for Revisionists with the delightful German patriot 87-year-old Ursula Haverbeck addressing her fellow Germans on video about 'The greatest problem of our time'. She discusses whether the claims that the Germans gassed six million Jews is an historical reality or not. There will be much shrieking, wailing and gnashing of teeth going on in the various offices of the German authorities as they plot the legal charges against her, which they will certainly be laying. Not bad going at all! The Lies of the Second World War form the basis for today's horribly twisted and demented World where greed and nothingness are the order of the day.

Please view Frau Haverbeck's *Danksagung und Antwort - Was soll das alles? - Der Holocaust ist offenkundig* at: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=M4TJq9HMKCM>.

The Nuremberg Tribunal, where the genocide of Jews was given legal credence, was and remains to this day a triumph of power over the law, committed by criminals who wrapped themselves in judges' robes. As we have seen recently with the USA torture revelations, those who condemn Hitler day and night have surpassed him in barbarism.

The Talmudic demonic spirit that dominates and pollutes the spirit of Humanity is foreign to the Germanic Geist. The end of the Great Lie will signal the beginning of the re-awakening of the Germanic mind, which during this century will lay the sure basis for a new and higher World Order. Even Alljuda, with all its money and media power today, should not believe it can hold down forever the Holocaust as a source of power. He who builds his house on lies fears nothing more than the truth, which can tear it down at any time.

In 1936 Lord Rothermere [1868-1940], owner of the *Daily Mail*, wrote words that today could also be viewed as prophetic and be regarded as a preview of what could again transpire:

Belief makes miracles become reality. The Germans have discovered a new and workable faith . . . created a new soul for Germany. The past two years have seen a political developments as deep and far-reaching as the great French Revolution itself. A change of this kind in the essence of a people, which concerns their inner being and external (foreign) relations, has never been achieved in history in so short a time. Germany is the new Sparta, with the same spirit of national discipline and self-devotion, which earned a few thousand inhabitants of a little Greek city-state a permanent place in history. Now it is displayed again by 67 million people, who in many ways are the cleverest, most industrious, most high-spirited, and most vigorous people in the world . . .

Truth and Goodness are one, and Beauty dwells in them, and they in her, and may this Spirit also be with you throughout Christmas and the New Year 2015.

With best wishes

Peter Hartung

Director

From the Adelaide Institute Archive - 2009

LEADING HOLOCAUST DENIER ADMITS HOLOCAUST HAPPENED

Lawrence Auster, 6 April 2009

Truth is always true, is always new and alive, and it endures. Lies do not endure. Lying ideologies die, though they may like zombies keep moving jerkily about and so give the impression of being alive. In an [article](#) posted this past January at the website of the Institute for Historical Review, the leading Holocaust-denial organization, Mark Weber, its director and for decades the editor of its publication, *The Journal of Historical Review*, announced his abandonment of Holocaust revisionism.

The real motive of the Holocaust denial enterprise, he writes, has always been to weaken and delegitimize the "Jewish-Zionist power." But it no longer helps in that purpose, for three reasons.

The first reason that Holocaust denial no longer serves the purpose of fighting Jewish power is that it is largely untrue. To demonstrate this, Weber quotes amazing passages from Joseph Goebbels's 1942 journals, which I doubt have ever appeared before in a Holocaust denial publication, detailing the removal and mass murder of Eastern European Jews. For instance:

March 27, 1942: "The Jews are now being deported to the East from the *Generalgouvernement* [Poland], starting around Lublin. The procedure is a pretty barbaric one and not to be described here more definitely, and there's not much left of the Jews. By and large, one can say that 60 percent of them will have to be liquidated, while only 40 percent can be put to work. The former Gauleiter of Vienna, who is carrying out the operation, is proceeding quite judiciously, using a method that is not all too conspicuous. The Jews are facing a judgment which, while barbaric, they fully deserve. The prophecy the Führer made about them for having brought on a new world war is beginning to come true in the most terrible manner. One must not be sentimental in these matters."

April 29, 1942: "Short shrift is being made of the Jews in all eastern occupied territories. Tens of thousands of them are being wiped out."

Weber continues:

No informed person disputes that Europe's Jews did, in fact, suffer a great catastrophe during the Second World War. Millions were forced from their homes and deported to brutal internment in crowded ghettos and camps. Jewish communities across Central and Eastern Europe, large and small, were wiped out. Millions lost their lives. When the war ended in 1945, most of the Jews of Germany, Poland, the Netherlands and other countries were gone.

Given all this, it should not be surprising that even well-founded revisionist arguments are often dismissed as heartless quibbling.

Question: Did Weber just learn of the Goebbels journals? Or has he known about them for years, and continued publishing Holocaust revisionist writings despite knowing they were false?

The second reason that Holocaust denial no longer helps advance the campaign against the "Jewish-Zionist power," just alluded to, is that, because of its evident untruth, it discredits and distracts from that campaign.

What drove the Holocaust denial enterprise, Weber tells us, was the hope that "exposing the Holocaust as a hoax [would] deliver a shattering blow to Israel and Jewish-Zionist power." But, he continues, not only is the Holocaust not a hoax, but Jewish power is not based on just the Holocaust. Jewish power was already established in America prior to World War II. Therefore exposing the Holocaust as a hoax (which he admits can't be done, but, he says in a thought experiment, even if it *could* be done) will not change anything essential as far as entrenched Jewish power is concerned and the need to destroy it.

Also, the Holocaust did not become a major theme in our culture until the late 1970s and 1980s, and now the world has moved on and the Holocaust is less culturally relevant than it used to be.

He states sadly that over the last ten years interest in Holocaust revisionism and Holocaust revisionist literature has "steadily declined." At the same time, he cheerfully points out, there has been a rise in opposition to the "Jewish-Zionist power."

In these admissions, the sheer ugliness of the Holocaust denial movement comes to the fore. It consisted of saying that the Holocaust was a cosmic lie, and that the Jews had bamboozled the entire world into believing this cosmic lie, the greatest hoax in history, all in order to gain power over non-Jews. What kind of people would do such a monstrous thing? The kind of people who deserved the very punishment that Hitler supposedly did not deliver to them.

It becomes clear that the main purpose of Holocaust denial was to make people hate Jews and see them as uniquely evil. It was thus the greatest anti-Semitic enterprise since World War II, replacing the Nazi ideology in that regard. But now it turns out, as admitted by a person who has been at the center of the denial project for the last 20 or 30 years, that the Holocaust did happen, and the Nazis did aim at, and largely carried out, the project of dispossessing and murdering, either immediately in death camps or a bit slower by slave labor in subhuman conditions, all the Jews of Europe, just as the "Holohoaxers" have always said. Will Weber apologize for having called the Holocaust a lie for all these years and thus provoking so much hatred against Jews? No. Because the production of Jew hatred is *still* his purpose. Shattering Israel and the Jewish power is *still* his mission. But now he intends to advance it by other means than Holocaust denial.

Finally, as mentioned above, Holocaust denial is no longer necessary in carrying out the war against the Jews, because, Weber says, anti-Semitism and anti-Israelism have been increasing worldwide. The real enemy is the Jewish-Zionist power, "a palpable reality with harmful consequences for America, the Middle East, and the entire global community." The world is catching on to how bad the Jews are. As a result, a direct attack can now be made on the Jews themselves, rather than the indirect attack through Holocaust denial.

By the way: *the global community*? Since when are right-wing, tribalist anti-Semites concerned about

the *global community*? When you're driven by hatred for an enemy rather than by belief in truth, you pick up whatever you think will work for you. For 30 years Weber thought Holocaust denial would work for him, now he's thinks that other things, like liberal-sounding appeals to the "global community" that is supposedly being oppressed by the Jews, will do the Jew-hating job better.

Weber then quotes an "influential statesman" who "seems to understand" the threat that the Jewish-Zionist power poses to the "global community." And who is this esteemed authority? None other than the loony-tunes former prime minister of Malaysia, Mahathir Mohamad, who made a big **splash** in October 2003 with an extended anti-Jewish **diatribe** at the

Organization of Islamic Conference. Thus Weber, prominent "historian" and anti-Jewish thinker, goes to a follower of the 1,400 year old Jew-exterminationist religion of Islam—the religion that portrays Jews as the relentless, subhuman enemies of everything decent in the world—as his top authority on the nature of the Jews.

In brief, Weber's abandonment of his life-long project of Holocaust denial comes down to this zombie-like statement:

"The anti-Semitic enterprise of Holocaust denial is dead. Long live anti-Semitism!"

<http://www.annation.com/vfr/archives/012915.html>

Denying the deniers: Q & A with Deborah Lipstadt

By [Amy Klein](#) April 19, 2009 5:23pm

LOS ANGELES (JTA) — This month marks nine years since Holocaust denier David Irving lost his libel suit against historian and scholar Deborah Lipstadt, who chronicled her battle against him in the book, ***History On Trial: My Day in Court with David Irving*** (Harper Collins, 2005). Lipstadt, the Dorot Professor of Modern Jewish and Holocaust Studies at Emory University, has just unveiled on www.hdot.org the translations of the popular "Myths & Facts" sheets, which help refute deniers with historical evidence, in Arabic, Farsi, Turkish and Russian.

On this Holocaust Remembrance Day — 70 years since the start of World War II — Lipstadt discusses the changing face of Holocaust denial and anti-Semitism, how the next generation of Jews relate to the Holocaust and the role it should play in forging Jewish identity, and why Hollywood loves her story.

JTA: What has happened to you and the field of Holocaust denial since the end of the trial and when your book came out?

LIPSTADT: After the lawsuit I didn't change in any way, what I have to say didn't change in any way, but people give me more credence and listen more carefully to what I have to say. I went head-to-head with the world's leading Holocaust denier, and thanks to terrific lawyers and a terrific research team and the support of lots of people, we were able to expose the lies and distortions in which he engages — and by extension all Holocaust deniers — either makes up the lies or repeats the lies.

Have we solved the problems of Holocaust denial? Of course not. We did provide precise explanations by following their footnotes. By tracking their sources we proved that what they said are lies and inventions. We didn't prove what happened, we proved that what they say happened did not happen.

JTA: What's the difference?

LIPSTADT: There's a difference. I wasn't proving how many people were murdered at Auschwitz. But when they say only 68,000 people were killed — it didn't happen. We weren't proving how many people were killed — we were showing that their contentions are based on lies, distortions and inventions and there's nothing to what they say.

JTA: Is that how you advise people to deal with Holocaust deniers?

LIPSTADT: The first way is to see if the facts prove the case — but you might have to be more of a specialist to do this: If they say "At this meeting Hitler said X, Y and Z," you can go and check if they changed the date or a fact — and suddenly their point is not a point. The second way is by citing the facts: If they say, "How do we know there were gas chambers?" you can say, "Let me show you the German plans for gas chambers." The third way is deductive reasoning or logic. Deniers will say that the very fact that there are so many survivors proves that the Holocaust never happened, because the Germans were so powerful and so efficient that if they wanted to kill the Jews, they would have killed the Jews. How do you counter that? [You say], "The Germans wanted to win the war, the Germans wanted to defeat Moscow" but they didn't — this claim that the Germans were so all-powerful, we know this is not true, it makes no sense. But I don't bother to answer deniers. Just the people who might be influenced by them.

JTA: Why you don't fight deniers?

LIPSTADT: It's like trying to convince a committed anti-Semite that not all Jews are rich or conniving. It all starts from an illogical premise. Holocaust denial and anti-Semitism — which anti-Semitism is — is prejudice. Think about the etymology: pre-judge. Don't confuse me with the facts, I've made up my mind. So if you try to argue with a person who is committed to a completely illogical premise, then you're lost to begin with — you're already sucked into their world of fantasy.

JTA: How has Holocaust denial changed since your trial and book?

LIPSTADT: I see the evolution of Holocaust denial — there is what I call "soft-core denial." Hard-core denial is David Irving or Bishop [Richard] Williamson. Soft-core is more slippery. It's "Why do we have to hear so much about the Holocaust?" or saying "the genocide of the Palestinians." Soft-core denial is not denying the facts, but either inverting it so the victims become the perpetrators — "Why did the Germans hate the Jews? Because they Jews were rich and conniving," — as if to say they deserved it. It's justifying it. Soft-core denial is also making a false comparison, and that dilutes

what the Holocaust was. It's a much more slippery kind of manifestation, but it's very much there.

JTA: How do you fight it?

LIPSTADT: It's much harder. You have to go back and zero in on what it is — you can say, "Look, you might disagree with Israel's policy vis a vis the Palestinians or that they should not have gone into Gaza, but to call this a genocide is to deny what a genocide is." They are not denying the Holocaust but they are making a false comparison which elevates by a factor of a zillion any wrongdoings Israel might have done, and lessens by a factor of a zillion what the Germans did. And that's not to defend everything Israel does, but you can't call it a Holocaust unless you want to distort what the Holocaust is. When you begin to use the Nazi term and you begin to compare Israeli soldiers — who are not angels and sometimes do awful things for which they should be criticized and punished — that's different than genocide. The Holocaust was state-sponsored. It came from Berlin and Berlin worked to make sure that every Jew on which it could lay its hands would be killed. In no way can you compare what's going on in the Middle East to that. Even if you have the extreme belief that there should be no state of Israel, to make the argument that Israel is committing a genocide is a complete fabrication and a worm of soft-core denial.

JTA: Is Holocaust denial on the rise?

LIPSTADT: Holocaust denial is rising. I'm not going to yell "The sky is falling." It is increasing. In part because of the rise of anti-Semitism and anti-Israel [feelings], like you've seen "Sharon =Nazi," "Bush=Nazi." And because of anti-globalization forces, and because Israel is so close to America. Accusing of Jews of atrocities is a very convenient way of engaging in anti-Semitism. It becomes a vehicle for anti-Semitism.

JTA: Is anti-Semitism rising too?

LIPSTADT: I just gave a seminar to the executive staff of the Holocaust museum on this subject. In the last few years, since Durban [the 2001 U.N. conference against racism], it has escalated, although it began long before that. There is a level of attacks that hasn't been seen before. I am more concerned now than I have been in a long time, but I am not yelling "gevalt" or yelling "head for the barricades" or "the sky is falling."

JTA: Why have you decided to translate "Myths & Facts" into Arabic, Farsi, Turkish and Russian?

LIPSTADT: After my trial, Emory University felt there should be a digitized Internet access archive of the trial — it had the judgment, the appeal and everything. Over the course of time, we felt it was being used by a lot of lawyers, students, international agencies bringing cases against Holocaust deniers — but it was not easily accessible to a person on the street who has to respond to a Holocaust denier. It's putting content in the hands of people who don't know how to respond to Holocaust denier material — particularly sophisticated material. And then we realized in places like Arabic-speaking countries like Iran, Russia and Turkey, there was an absence of a narrative to counter the charges. In America, there are a thousand sources you can use to answer a denier. But if you hear it in Egypt, that's what you think is the truth because there's no basic books on the Holocaust in the Arab- and Russian-speaking world. Eventually, we want to publish in Spanish — there's a lot of denial in South America and Latin America.

JTA: How has the Internet changed the conversation about the Holocaust?

LIPSTADT: What the Internet has done is put a lot of unfiltered information out there, and by so doing it makes it harder for people to differentiate what is legitimate information and what is not; what is fact and what is fiction. The Internet is a wonderful thing — it allows us to spread information in a way we never did before. But it puts out a lot of lies and it's easy access for people. Someone wrote to me that his son Googled "Jews, Soap and the Holocaust" and the first four sites were Holocaust denial sites. This is a myth. Jews were not made into soap. It never happened — there might have been experiments. Deniers say, "This is another lie that Jews made up." That's why I'm such a stickler and I get so upset and worried when you have people making up Holocaust memoirs like "Angel at the Fence." It's fodder for the deniers. The deniers then say, "Here's another example of a Jew being a denier. How can you believe 'Night' [by Elie Wiesel] or 'The Diary of Anne Frank' — it's all lies."

JTA: Do Jews of younger generations view the Holocaust differently from older generations?

LIPSTADT: We grew up knowing survivors. We took it for granted. But they're getting older and they may have passed away. Those who were in the camps are few and far between. When I first started teaching my course on the Holocaust, I could choose between the survivors. Now it's getting harder and harder.

JTA: Does the younger generation relate to the Holocaust differently?

LIPSTADT: When I hear someone say, "I studied the Holocaust in the 4th grade," I get nervous. It's too young to understand! That's a mistake. The Holocaust is much more de rigueur today. When we were growing up, no one studied it. There's a Hebrew phrase [that means] "You tried to grab too much, you didn't grab anything at all." We make too easy reference and too easy comparison to the Holocaust. I get very disturbed when people say, "Isn't what's going on right now like 1939 in Europe?" and I say no, that's ridiculous. But people often will make that statement. What's going on now is bad. But it's not a Holocaust, it's not not 1939. Jews in most places are living quite securely, but there are enough developments on the scene that there's a cause for concern. I'm not saying things are good, but let's think strategically instead of overreacting and not thinking smart.

JTA: How does the Holocaust and anti-Semitism play a part in Jewish identity?

LIPSTADT: I think we have to be very careful not to build Jewish identity on oys but on joys. We can't build Jewish identity by saying, "Everyone hates the Jews" — that's a lousy reason to motivate Jewish identity. That's why when you say to people, "Israel is under attack so you should support it," it's a very negative way to build a connection to Judaism. Support Israel because it's a Jewish homeland, because it's an amazing country. And it needs your support because it's under attack.

JTA: So how should younger Jews be educated about the Holocaust?

LIPSTADT: I would teach about the Holocaust. I would never say, "Be strong in your Jewish identity because of the Holocaust," that's a terrible message to teach a younger person. Be strong in your culture because of the amazing things that Jewish culture and heritage

and tradition represent. And because it's yours — not because everyone wanted to destroy us. Because it has given so much to the world, it has so much to teach, it has so much value to it. That's why you should identify — not because of, but despite. I remember many years ago someone once said to me, "It's so important that we have a Holocaust museum just to show deniers." Wrong. It's important to have a Holocaust museum not because of the Holocaust deniers, but to teach about the event.

JTA: Your book about the trial with David Irving has been optioned as a film?

LIPSTADT: Producers at Sony Pictures were taken by the story of this trial. They think it's important historically and [telling] the story of standing up against what they see it as a struggle against an effort to twist history and spread hatred.

JTA: What's next for you?

LIPSTADT: I'm writing a series for Nextbook on the impact of the Eichmann trial 50 years later [1961] and I'm also doing another book on Holocaust denial in the 21st century. In my first book ["Denying the Holocaust: the Growing Assault on Truth and Memory," (Plume, 1994)] there was no Internet to address, we didn't have the rise of Holocaust denial in the Arab-Muslim world. We didn't have all these Holocaust denier trials. We didn't have soft-core denial. If you had told me way back when I wrote my first book that I would have been writing a second book, I would have said, "These people are like flat-earthers." I would have said,

"they're not important." I've come to see that they're not important, but they can do significant damage.

<http://www.jta.org/2009/04/19/life-religion/denying-the-deniers-q-a-with-deborah-lipstadt>

AI comment

Note the article's introductory remarks: *Lipstadt discusses the changing face of Holocaust denial and anti-Semitism, how the next generation of Jews relate to the Holocaust and the role it should play in forging Jewish identity, and why Hollywood loves her story.*

In regard to Jewish identity, remember the defining words of wisdom from German philosopher Martin Heidegger:

The Jews, with their marked gift for calculating, live, already for the longest time, according to the principle of race, which is why they are resisting its consistent application with utmost violence.

In this way the three defining pillars of Jewish identity are covered: race, religion and ethnicity, while nationality is abandoned, and those striving for such will automatically become the enemy of Jewish identity, and thus will be defamed as 'haters', 'Holocaust deniers', 'antisemites', 'racists', 'Nazis', even 'xenophobes'.

Iran had demanded extradition of Sydney gunman: Police chief



Iran's Police Chief Brigadier General Ismail Ahmadi-Moqaddam
Wed Dec 17, 2014 6:26AM

Tehran had asked Canberra to extradite the Iranian national behind the recent deadly hostage-taking incident in the Australian city of Sydney, says Iran's police chief.

In the year 2000, in a coordinated effort with Iran's Judiciary and Interpol, we requested Australia to extradite Man Haron Monis, also known as Mohammad

Hassan Manteqi, said Brigadier General Ismail Ahmadi Moqaddam on Tuesday.

After Iran's request for the extradition of Monis, the Australian government refused to hand him over to Tehran because the two countries had not signed an extradition treaty, the police chief added.

Monis was wanted in Iran on fraud charges in 1996.

"The individual was the manager of a travel agency in 1996. He then committed fraud and fled to Malaysia and from there to Australia under a fake name," Ahmadi Moqaddam added.

The reason why Monis escaped was that he had committed fraud, the police chief said, adding that he, however, applied for political asylum to obtain refugee status in Australia.

The hostage-taking incident took place at a café in Sydney in Australian on December 15.

Australian security forces raided the Lindt Café in Sydney's central business district on Monday, ending the siege that lasted for more than 16 hours.

According to police sources, the gunman and two hostages were killed during the operation.

<http://www.presstv.ir/detail/390605.html>

Canberra ignored Iran's warning on hostage taker: Iranian official

Iran's Deputy Foreign Minister for Asia and Oceania Ebrahim Rahimpour

Tue Dec 16, 2014 3:6PM GMT

A senior Iranian diplomat says the Australian government had ignored Iran's repeated warnings about the criminal record of the man involved in the recent hostage-taking incident in Australia.

Ebrahim Rahimpour, who serves as Iran's deputy foreign minister for Asia and Oceania, said Iran had repeatedly informed the Australian government about the criminal record of the man in Iran.

A gunman, identified by the Australian media as an Iranian refugee, stormed a café in central Sydney Monday morning and held people hostage there. The siege came to an end when police managed to release the hostages after 16 hours. The gunman and two other hostages were killed in the incident.

The hostage taker had moved to Australia 18 years ago from Iran and was accepted by the Australian government as a political asylum-seeker, according to reports.

Rahimpour said the man had divorced his first wife in Iran, leaving her with two children before migrating to Australia where he has been accused of being accessory to the killing of his second wife along with many other sexual abuse charges.

The Iranian official criticized the Australian government's wrong policies in dealing with refugees and migrants and said the Australian people have every right to be concerned about their government's weakness in dealing with the issue of refugees.

Commenting on the Western media's ongoing hype about the Iranian nationality of the hostage taker, Rahimpour described the tactic as typical and threadbare.

"He had been used when he was a Shia, in a clerical outfit and was acting against us and now it is very clear that the fact that he was a refugee, Iranian and Muslim would be used for negative propaganda against our country in line with their own agendas," he added.

Iran's Foreign Ministry on Monday vehemently condemned the hostage-taking in Australia's most populous city of Sydney.

"Resorting to inhumane methods and creating terror and panic in the name of the divine religion of Islam is not justifiable under any circumstances," Foreign Ministry Spokeswoman Marzieh Afkham said.

She noted that the Australian police had been fully aware of the psychological conditions of the hostage taker.

Experts blame the rising number of terrorist attacks in the world on those who support terrorism, including the United States, Israel, and certain regimes in the Middle East region that support Takfiri groups like as al-Nusra Front and ISIL.

Related Interviews:

'West support for ISIL backfiring'

MS/HMV/SS

<http://www.presstv.ir/detail/390526.html>

Who Created Cartoon Character "Man Haron Monis" Behind "Sydney Siege" Circus?

Previously an outspoken critic of Iranian government, was interviewed by Australian media in 2001, loved Western society...



December 15, 2014 (Tony Cartalucci - LD) As predicted, the suspect amid the "Sydney Siege," has long been on the radar of Australian law enforcement, as well as a frequent visitor to Australia's court system.

Before that, however, he came to Australia as a political refugee, an opponent of what he called the "Iranian regime," and was even interviewed by Australia's ABC network in 2001 as part of an ongoing anti-Iranian propaganda campaign.

It has been revealed that long-time agitator, alias "Man Haron Monis," also known as "Manteghi Boroujerdi," was the suspect amid the so-called "Sydney Siege" hostage crisis. Monis/Boroujerdi claims to be a Shia'a religious leader and is often seen in press photos dressed as one.

Despite this, he was at the center of a hostage crisis requesting the flag of the "Islamic State" terrorist organization be delivered to him while claiming association with other ISIS "brothers."

Neither Islamic nor a state, ISIS is led by [US, Israeli, and Saudi-backed Wahabi terrorists](#), promoting a perversion of Sunni Islam - the bane to both genuine Sunnis and Shia'a worldwide and against which both the nations of Syria and Iran are fighting. Monis/Boroujerdi rose to infamy amid two notable incidents - one being his involvement in the stabbing death and burning of his ex-wife - the other being his controversial campaign of sending hate-letters to the families of dead Australian soldiers killed during the invasion and occupation of Afghanistan. The latter was used extensively to stir up division across the pro and anti-war political divide.

The Australian in a September 2013 article titled, "["Cleric' Man Haron Monis punished for offensive letters written to families of dead Diggers,"](#)" reported that:

A SELF-styled Muslim cleric has been sentenced to 300 hours of community service for penning "grossly offensive" letters to families of soldiers killed in Afghanistan.

Man Haron Monis, also known as Sheik Haron, was also placed on a two-year good behaviour bond.

So too was his co-accused and partner, 34-year-old Amirah Droudis, who pleaded guilty to one count of aiding and abetting him.

In December of 2013, the Australian Daily Telegraph would report in an article titled, "["Hate' sheik Man Horan Monis and girlfriend Amirah Droudis granted bail on murder charges,"](#)" that: *Monis is charged as an accessory before and after the fact to the murder of Noleen Hayson Pal, 30, who was stabbed 18 times and set alight outside a western Sydney unit in April.*

Monis/Boroujerdi's Origins Story

But before Monis/Boroujerdi's recent run-ins with the law and his role as chief "Muslim boogeyman" in Australia, he was "Manteghi Boroujerdi," a "victim" of the "Iranian regime" who was in love with Western society.

Australia's ABC in its "["Religion Report" dated January 31, 2001](#)", introduced Monis/Boroujerdi as follows:

...while in Sydney we talk to Ayatollah Manteghi Boroujerdi, an Iranian cleric espousing a liberal brand of Islam - dangerously liberal, as his views have led to his wife and two daughters being held hostage in Iran.

The interview itself is used as yet another vehicle to carry along Western propaganda long-aimed at Iran. It claims Monis/Boroujerdi's family is in grave danger and that Monis/Boroujerdi himself would be executed should he ever return to Iran. It quotes Monis/Boroujerdi several times including claims he was formally associated with Iranian intelligence:

In Iran, mostly I have been involved with the Ministry of Intelligence and Security.

And was in contact with the UN regarding security issues in Iran:

...more than four years I have not seen my family, and the Iranian regime doesn't let them come out. In fact I can say they are hostage; as a hostage the Iranian regime wants to make me silent, because I have some secret information about government, and about their terrorist operations in the war. I sent a letter to the Secretary-General of the United Nations and somebody on behalf of Mr Kofi Anan sent the answer, and they want to do something. I have hope and always I pray and ask God to solve my problem.

At one point during the interview Monis/Boroujerdi professes his love of Australia, Canada, the US, and UK claiming:

...we can say Australia, Canada, England, USA, so many western countries, they are religious societies. They don't say 'We are religious', but in fact the spirit of religion, we can see the spirit of religion in these societies. And some other countries in the Middle East, in Asia, they say 'We are Islamic' they have a name of Islamic, but in fact they are not religious societies and religious governments. Whenever I walk in the street, whenever I go out in Australia, I feel I am in a real religious society. I don't want to say it is perfect, we

don't have a perfect society on the earth, but when we compare, if we compare Australia with Iran and other countries in the Middle East, we can say it is heaven. However, later in 2008, Monis/Boroujerdi's activities drew the attention of real Shia'a religious leaders in Australia who asked Australian security agents to investigate him. In an Australian article titled, "["Call to probe mystery Shia cleric,"](#)" it was reported that:

FEDERAL agents have been urged by the nation's senior Shia leader, Kamal Mousselmani, to investigate an Iranian man purporting to be a prominent Islamic cleric.

Sheik Mousselmani told The Australian yesterday the mystery cleric - who has been identified as Ayatollah Manteghi Boroujerdi on his website after appearing under the name Sheik Haron - was not a genuine Shia spiritual leader.

He said there were no ayatollahs - supreme Shia scholars - in Australia and none of his fellow spiritual leaders knew who Ayatollah Boroujerdi or Sheik Haron was.

"We don't know him and we have got nothing to do with him," Sheik Mousselmani said. "The federal police should investigate who he is. It should be their responsibility."

But it was the Australian media itself who introduced him publicly as an "Ayatollah" and the Australian government that vetted him and allegedly granted him political asylum. He was allegedly in contact with the UN and was used to stir up anti-Iranian sentiment in Australia. It is then highly suspicious that now both the Australian media and the Australian government appear to have no knowledge of who he is or where he came from.

For someone used as part of the West's anti-Iranian propaganda campaign, and who was granted political asylum into Australia, but who is now supposedly unknown to those who invited him in and used him, there is clearly more to the story of Monis/Boroujerdi - a story that may then offer insight into his latest performance. Perhaps most ironic of all is the fact that the "Iranian regime" he was used to demonize apparently did not kill his wife - instead, he himself is suspected of doing so.

Whatever the case is, Monis/Boroujerdi is certainly no "loan wolf terrorist." He, at best, is yet another Frankenstein of the establishment run amok after an abortive attempt to cultivate and use him to advance Western foreign and domestic policy. At worse, he is directly involved in an intelligence operation to further inflame division in Australian society and promote the long stalled war in Syria aimed at regime change there, before heading to Iran - the scorn of Monis/Boroujerdi. An omnipresent all-invasive surveillance state that is constantly "blindsided" by terror attacks carried out by criminals and characters possessing extensive criminal records and who are well-acquainted with that state's law enforcement, media, and even government, is testament to the fact that such surveillance hasn't been and never was intended to serve the public's best interests nor to keep them safe - but rather another system of control and manipulation to allow true dangers to human civilization to endure with impunity.

<http://landdestroyer.blogspot.co.uk/2014/12/who-created-cartoon-character-man-haron.html>

The Heretics' Hour: Musical Christmas in the Third Reich

By Carolyn Yeager Uncensored

December 15, 2014 - 08:00:00 PM CST 120 Minutes

Call in to speak with the host: (323) 642-1206




[Carolyn Yeager Uncensored](#)

The Heretics' Hour: Musical Christmas in the Third Reich



Margaret Huffsticker returns with another great musical show -- a tour of German Christmas music that was heard during the Third Reich. Eleven selections will be played, each enhanced by Margaret's very able translation and commentary. So join us for a nostalgic two hours reliving and learning about the noblest period in modern European history -- during the most beloved and special time of year, Christmas!





SOFTMIXER.COM



© bildarchiv preussischer Kulturbesitz



On the brink of war and economic collapse


Sat Dec 13, 2014 1:29AM GMT, Paul Craig Roberts, www.paulcraigroberts.org

On occasion, a reader will ask if I can give readers some good news. The answer is: not unless I lie to you like "your" government and the mainstream media do. If you want faked "good news," you need to retreat into The Matrix. In exchange for less stress and worry, you will be led unknowingly into financial ruin and nuclear armageddon. If you want to be forewarned, and possibly prepared, for what "your" government is bringing you, and have some small chance of redirecting the course of events, read and support [this](#) site. It is your site. I already know these things. I write for you.



US President Barack Obama (L) and Russian President Vladimir Putin

Related Interviews:



US committing crimes worldwide'



US waging war against blacks'



'US legitimacy waning over 9/11 hoax'

Neoconservatives dominated the George W. Bush regime. They controlled the Pentagon, the National Security Council, the Office of the Vice President, and much else. Neoconservatives gave us 9/11 and its coverup, the invasions of Afghanistan and Iraq, the beginning of the destabilizations of Pakistan and Yemen, the U.S. Africa Command, the invasion of South Ossetia by Georgia, the demise of the anti-ABM Treaty, unconstitutional and illegal spying on American citizens without warrants, loss of constitutional protections, torture, and the unaccountability of the executive branch to law, Congress, and the judiciary. In short, the neoconservatives laid the foundation for dictatorship and for WW III.

The Obama regime held no one accountable for the crimes of the Bush regime, thus creating the precedent that the executive branch is above the law. Instead, the Obama regime prosecuted whistleblowers who told the truth about government crimes.

Neoconservatives remain very influential in the Obama regime. As examples, Obama appointed neoconservative Susan Rice as his National Security Advisor. Obama appointed neoconservative Samantha Power as U.S. Ambassador to the United Nations. Obama appointed neoconservative Victoria Nuland as Assistant Secretary of State. Nuland's office, working

The neoconservatives, a small group of warmongers strongly allied with the military/industrial complex and Israel, gave us Granada and the Contras affair in Nicaragua. President Reagan fired them, and they were prosecuted, but subsequently pardoned by Reagan's successor, George H.W. Bush.

Ensconced in think tanks and protected by Israeli and military/security complex money, the neoconservatives reemerged in the Clinton administration and engineered the breakup of Yugoslavia, the war against Serbia, and the expansion of NATO to Russia's borders.

with the CIA and Washington-financed NGOs, organized the U.S. coup in Ukraine.

Neoconservatism is the only extant political ideology. The ideology is "America *über alles*." Neoconservatives believe that History has chosen the United States to exercise hegemony over the world, thereby making the U.S. "exceptional" and "indispensable." Obama himself has declared as much. This ideology gives neoconservatives tremendous confidence and drive, just as Karl Marx's conclusion that history had chosen the workers to be the ruling class gave early communists confidence and drive.

This confidence and drive makes the neoconservatives reckless.

To advance their agenda neoconservatives propagandize the populations of the U.S. and Washington's vassal states. The pressstitutes deliver the neoconservatives' lies to the unsuspecting public: Russia has invaded and annexed Ukrainian provinces; Putin intends to reconstitute the Soviet Empire; Russia is a gangster state without democracy; Russia is a threat to the Baltics, Poland, and all of Europe, necessitating a U.S./NATO military buildup on Russia's borders; China, a Russian ally, must be militarily contained with new U.S. naval and air bases surrounding China and controlling Chinese sea lanes.

The neoconservatives and President Obama have made it completely clear that the U.S. will not accept Russia and China as sovereign countries with economic and foreign policies independent of the interests of Washington. Russia and China are acceptable only as vassal states, like the UK, Europe, Japan, Canada, and Australia.

Clearly, the neoconservative formula is a formula for the final war.

*

All of humanity is endangered by a handful of evil men and women ensconced in positions of power in Washington.

*

Anti-Russia propaganda has gone into high gear. Putin is the "new Hitler." Daniel Zubov reports on a joint conference held by three U.S. think tanks.

The conference blamed Russia for the failures of Washington's foreign policy. Read [this](#) article. to see how neoconservatives operate in order to control the explanations. Even Henry Kissinger is under attack for stating the obvious truth that Russia has a legitimate interest in Ukraine, a land long part of Russia and located in Russia's legitimate sphere of influence.

Since the Clinton regime, Washington has been acting against Russian interests. In his forthcoming book, *The Globalization of War: America's Long War against Humanity*, Professor Michel Chossudovsky presents a realistic appraisal of how close Washington has brought the world to its demise in nuclear war. This passage is from the Preface:

"The 'globalization of war' is a hegemonic project. Major military and covert intelligence operations are being undertaken simultaneously in the Middle East, Eastern Europe, sub-Saharan Africa, Central Asia and the Far East. The US military agenda combines both major theater operations as well as covert actions geared towards destabilizing sovereign states.

"Under a global military agenda, the actions undertaken by the Western military alliance (US-NATO-Israel) in

Afghanistan, Pakistan, Palestine, Ukraine, Syria and Iraq are coordinated at the highest levels of the military hierarchy. We are not dealing with piecemeal military and intelligence operations. The July-August 2014 attack on Gaza by Israeli forces was undertaken in close consultation with the United States and NATO. In turn, the actions in Ukraine and their timing coincided with the onslaught of the attack on Gaza.

"In turn, military undertakings are closely coordinated with a process of economic warfare which consists not only in imposing sanctions on sovereign countries but also in deliberate acts of destabilization of financial and currency markets, with a view to undermining the enemies' national economies.

"The United States and its allies have launched a military adventure which threatens the future of humanity. As we go to press, US and NATO forces have been deployed in Eastern Europe. US military intervention under a humanitarian mandate is proceeding in sub-Saharan Africa. The US and its allies are threatening China under President Obama's 'Pivot to Asia'.

"In turn, military maneuvers are being conducted at Russia's doorstep which could lead to escalation.

"The US airstrikes initiated in September 2014 directed against Iraq and Syria under the pretext of going after the ISIL are part of a scenario of military escalation extending from North Africa and the Eastern Mediterranean to Central and South Asia. The Western military alliance is in an advanced state of readiness.

"And so is Russia."

As I have often remarked, Americans are an insouciant people. They are simply unaware. Suppose they were aware, suppose that the entire population understood the peril, could anything be done, or have the insouciant Americans fallen under the control of the police state that Washington has created?

I don't think there is much hope from the American people. The American people cannot tell genuine from fake leadership, and the ruling private elites will not permit real leaders to emerge. Moreover, there is no organized movement in opposition to the neoconservatives.

The hope comes from outside the political system. The hope is that the House of Cards and rigged markets erected by policymakers for the benefit of the One Percent collapses. David Stockman regards this outcome as a highly likely one. The collapse that Stockman sees as being on its way is the same collapse about which I have warned. Moreover, the number of Black Swans which can originate collapse are even more numerous than the ones Stockman correctly identifies. Some financial organizations are worried about a lack of liquidity in the fixed income (bonds) and derivatives markets. Barbara Novack, co-chair of Black Rock, is lobbying hard for a derivatives bailout mechanism.

David Stockman's [article](#) is important. Read it until you understand it, and you will know more than most everyone.

Many will ask: If the wealth of the One Percent is vulnerable to economic collapse, will war be initiated to protect this wealth and to blame the Russians or Chinese for the hardships that engulf the American population? My answer is that the kind of collapse that I expect, and that David Stockman and no doubt others

expect, presents government with such social, political, and economic insecurity that organizing for a major war becomes impossible.

*

Whereas the political impotence of the American people and the vassalage of the Western World impose no constraints on Washington, economic collapse brings revolutions and the demise of the existing order.

*

As hard as collapse would make it for people to survive, the chances for survival are higher than in the event of nuclear war.

*

Dr. Paul Craig Roberts is a former Assistant Secretary of the US Treasury and Associate Editor of the Wall Street Journal. His latest book is How America Was Lost.

NT/NT

<http://www.presstv.ir/detail/390001.html>

Under Sado-Masochistic Power

Posted on [September 17, 2014](#) by [eyes wide open](#)

germanvictims.com ... But coldness and the instinct to dominate and manipulate lurks behind the seemingly caring and sympathetic façade [of Jews]. It is not insincerity in the sense we understand it, but a function of their bifurcated (two-track) minds...Empathy without Sympathy – Psychopaths' brains lack basic wiring that triggers compassion

Andrew Hamilton

Jews have many unique psychological characteristics that render them radically unlike non-Jews. One quality Jews possess in one-on-one situations, and even group situations, is a keen sensitivity to the subtleties, nuances, desires, thoughts, and emotions of non-Jews. They can size up people of all races and interact with them extremely well. This is no doubt one reason for their prominent role as mediators and go-betweens. When they desire to do so, Jews deal with all kinds of people, high and low, rich and poor, of every race, even the prickliest and most difficult personalities, with diplomacy, delicacy, and tact, patiently subordinating and concealing their own thoughts and feelings in the process.

Despite underlying callous indifference to the well-being of whites, blacks, Mestizos, Arabs, Asians, or anybody else—Jews are the most inhuman people on earth—they nevertheless engage more sensitively and intimately with individual members of all races and subcultures, even the most outré, than any of those people can with members of their own kind. But coldness and the instinct to dominate and manipulate lurks behind the seemingly caring and sympathetic façade. It is not insincerity in the sense we understand it, but a function of their bifurcated (two-track) minds. I believe this ability to make whites—indeed all Gentiles—feel sympathetic and at ease is analogous to, though far more subtle and sophisticated than, the “winning personality,” “high levels of social competence,” and “socially dominant personality profile” psychologist J. Philippe Rushton identified among blacks. Of the latter he noted, “They are outgoing, talkative, sociable, warm, and friendly. Psychometrically speaking, they score high on the Extraversion personality dimension. They are also much less anxious, shy, and fearful than Whites.” ([“Solving The African IQ Conundrum: ‘Winning Personality’ Masks Low Scores,”](#) VDare.com, August, 12, 2004)

Following is a concrete illustration.

An American Catholic layman described a brief discussion he had in 2011 with the Israeli Ambassador to the Vatican after attending a speech the man gave in a Franciscan monastery overlooking the ruins of the ancient Forum in Rome.

During the question and answer period the Catholic asked a polite but pointed question about Israel's harsh treatment of the Palestinians. Later, a Franciscan priest privately thanked him: “We can't think that way. We are Catholics.”

Afterward, the Israeli ambassador, flanked by two armed bodyguards, approached the questioner and chatted him up about America, Laurel and Hardy movies, and other inconsequential matters. The Israeli was obviously sizing up the man, perhaps even ID'ing him in some way.

The racially naïve Catholic, who nevertheless possessed far more self-awareness than most Gentiles, [wrote](#), The strange thing is that, in those minutes of his speaking to me, I felt a genuine rapport with him. I rather liked him; in fact, I felt a kind of closeness to him, one that I did not feel when speaking earlier with the priest. The Ambassador's belief system is alien to mine. His speech, both before us and the Pope, was obviously little more than a tactical exercise in an ongoing Israeli disinformation campaign. Yet, I felt nothing less than a genuine liking for that government's official representative to my tribe [Catholics].

Why should I have felt more of a rapport with that man than with the priest who shares my beliefs about this world and the next? His friendly face smiling and his body language drawing me into his love for Laurel and Hardy, I noticed that part of my feeling of liking him was, yes, feeling a little sorry for him. He seemed likeable and vulnerable, unlike any Arab I had ever spoken to. I was warmed by his manner. An aura seemed to draw me into a strange cloud, induced to identify, emotionally and psychically, with the past suffering of the Jews and disposed almost not at all to consider worthy the present suffering of the Iraqis, the Afghans, the Libyans, and the Syrians.

Some points to consider:

The writer is unusually open, honest, and self-aware. Yet, his objective understanding of injustice does not dilute psychic and emotional identification with Jews, despite the fact that it is *not reciprocated*. This glaring asymmetry is irrelevant to Gentiles.

Jews routinely go everywhere. The Israeli ambassador was proselytizing in an alien setting.

The Israeli was accompanied by two *armed* bodyguards in a Franciscan monastery. This evidently did not provoke disquiet. The full security measures, I suspect, were multilayered, sophisticated, and extensive, provided by Jews, the Roman Catholic Church, and the Italian government.

At least some participants, like the writer and the Franciscan priest, were aware of the callousness, self-centeredness, and untruthfulness of the propaganda, at least intellectually.

Despite the grossly sinful and indefensible nature of Israeli behavior, the ambassador drew even an informed listener into his "aura," his "strange cloud," causing the man to identify psychically and emotionally with "the Jews" and their persecution myth, which somehow trumped the egregious, unending depredations they committed against others. One suspects that had the victims been white, they would have received no consideration whatsoever by anyone. The Israeli seemed "likable and vulnerable [!], unlike any Arab I had ever spoken to." The man was "warmed" by his manner, even "felt a little sorry for him."

Jews are able to evoke such positive responses in Gentiles (*including their victims*) even while committing the most unspeakable crimes. This strange spell, like that cast over Winston Smith by his tormentor O'Brien in George Orwell's *1984*, causes Gentiles to suspend law and moral principle wherever Jews are concerned. This strange, intangible, Svengali-like power is certainly a major source of Jewish dominance. Jews possess an uncanny ability to empathize with the Other, examine him closely and take his measure, understand and engage, even mesmerize him, without feeling the slightest genuine sympathy or compassion.

This disjunction between empathy (the capacity for participating in or vicariously experiencing others' feelings, volitions, and ideas) and sympathy (genuine caring) is completely foreign to whites, who do not understand it and cannot cope with it in a healthy manner. For them, to empathize is to sympathize. In the English language the words are synonyms. It is quite different for Jews.

In this connection it is striking how often the process of targeting and destroying non-Jews involves establishing intimate personal contact with the victims (known in

spy circles as "humint"—human intelligence), partly in an attempt to dominate, but mostly to coldly evaluate the luckless, overmatched target face to face. One wonders how Jews would fare in a conflict if they were deprived of this opportunity.

Sometimes Jewish community representatives or Establishment journalists are used. Frequently, however, an intimate Jewish friend, acquaintance, or colleague of the victim is recruited for the purpose. *Sayanim*-fashion, the formerly-independent acquaintance invariably agrees, Judas-like, to betray his Gentile "friend."

That is how Eddie Jacobson, a former Kansas City haberdashery partner of Harry Truman, was approached out of the blue by organized Jewry in 1947-48 and asked to pressure the President into recognizing Israel, as well as provide personal access for more important Jews to strong-arm the chief executive and corruptly deliver money and votes to him (the carrot and the stick).

[The ADL used](#) David Irving's Washington, DC friend, James Jacobs, an executive at NASA and expert on nuclear power, to surreptitiously gather personal information about the author in its decades-long campaign to destroy him. Jacobs happily obliged, as if cutting his friend's throat was the most natural thing in the world.

According to a confidential 1977 ADL memo, Jacobs stated "that Irving is definitely not anti-Semitic . . ." This remains true today, despite everything the Jews have done to harm the author. It is the predictable, fatal, knee-jerk response by Gentiles to the Jews who intensely despise and persecute them. The relationship can only be described as sado-masochistic.

At any rate, Gentiles are deceived. They mistake Jewish understanding for sympathy, because in their minds sympathy and empathy go hand in hand. They care more about fictional Jewish suffering than they do about the most ghastly injustices perpetrated by Jews against others.

Formerly, whites entertained a stereotype of the "inscrutable Oriental." Had they meant Jews instead of East Asians, the designation would have been apt.

[German translation here](#)

<http://www.germanvictims.com/2014/09/17/under-sado-masochistic-power/>

James Watson Throws a Fit

The disgraced(sic) co-discoverer of DNA is selling his Nobel Prize.

By [Laura Helmuth](#)



LAURA HELMUTH


Laura Helmuth is *Slate's* science and health editor.

In a fit of pique and [self-pity](#), Watson is [selling his Nobel Prize medallion](#). He will become the [first Nobel laureate in history](#) to do so. He gave the [Financial Times](#) several reasons why, this Thursday, he will auction off the gold disk, symbol of the highest honor in science (expected price: up to

\$3.5 million). He claims that, even though he ran major research institutions and served on corporate boards until the age of 79, he needs the money. He might donate it to universities, he said, or buy a David Hockney painting. Oh, and he also mentioned to the *FT* that he's selling the medal because he has become an "unperson," and "no one really wants to admit I exist."

This is not about the Hockney. Selling the medal is Watson's way of sticking his tongue out at the scientific establishment, which has largely shunned him since 2007. Watson had been making racist and sexist remarks throughout his career, but he really outdid himself seven years ago when he told

the [Sunday Times](#) that he was "inherently gloomy about the prospect of Africa" because "all our social policies are based on the fact that their intelligence is the same as ours—whereas all the testing says not really." He further said that while we may wish intelligence to be equal across races, "people who have to deal with black employees [find this not true.](#)"



James Watson in 1993 - Photo by Daniel Mordzinski/AFP/Getty Images

Jim Watson is one of the most important scientists of the 20th century. He is also a preevish bigot. History will remember him for his co-discovery of the structure of DNA, in 1953. This week, Watson is insuring that history, or at least the introduction to every obituary, will also remember him for being a jerk.

Finally, for once in his life, Watson didn't get away with making ignorant, prejudiced statements. The board of the Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, which he had led for almost 40 years, [fired him](#) (although he's still [chancellor emeritus](#)). The president of the Federation of American Scientists said, "[He has failed us](#) in the worst possible way. It is a sad and revolting way to end a remarkable career." The director of the National Institutes of Health, where Watson ran the Human Genome Project for many years, released a statement saying Watson's comments "are wrong, from every point of view—not the least of which is that they are [completely inconsistent](#) with the body of research literature in this area."

Watson was 79 at the time, and people familiar with his field knew that he was genetics' embarrassing, cranky old uncle. But this wasn't the ranting of someone who was losing his grasp on reality. He has always been a horrible human being.

Watson was famously insulting and arrogant as a professor at Harvard, even for a professor at Harvard. One of his earliest sins: Watson didn't credit Rosalind Franklin, a chemist also working on DNA at the time, for her crucial research on X-ray diffraction images, without which he and Francis Crick [would not have been the first](#) to discover the double helix structure. (Linus Pauling and others were right behind them and would have figured it out.) In Watson's [The Double Helix](#) memoir, he calls Franklin "Rosy" (not a nickname she used), critiques her clothing and makeup, and characterizes her incorrectly as [another scientist's assistant](#).

Watson was also famously insulting and arrogant as a professor at Harvard, even for a professor at Harvard. Fellow faculty member E.O. Wilson described Watson in the 1950s and '60s as the "[Caligula of biology](#)" for his contempt of scientists who studied anything other than molecules. Wilson wrote that, unfortunately, due to Watson's stroke of genius at age 25, "He was given license to say anything that came to his mind and expect to be taken seriously."

In 2000, Watson told an audience at Berkeley that there was a link between [sunlight exposure and libido](#), and therefore "That's why you have Latin lovers." In the same speech, reported by the *San Francisco Chronicle*, he said that thin people are ambitious. "Whenever you interview fat people," Watson said, "you feel bad, because you know you're not going to hire them."

He just wouldn't stop dismissing whole groups of people, even after his disgrace in 2007. At a science conference in 2012, for instance, he said of women in science, "I think having all these women around makes it [more fun for the men](#) but they're probably less effective."

Watson is also famous among science journalists for mouthing off about things he doesn't really understand. This makes him an interesting dinner table companion but a [lousy source](#), as when he told a *New York Times* reporter [16 years ago](#) that a researcher was "going to cure cancer in two years." He files self-promoting amicus briefs in gene patenting lawsuits but "[fundamentally misunderstands](#)" the relevant legal points.

And, of course, Watson fundamentally misunderstands research on race, genes, and intelligence. Scientists have been debunking ideas like his since well before *The Bell Curve* made a mockery of statistical analysis. The latest for-crying-out-loud-[do-we have-to-do-this-again](#) moment came this year with the publication of Nicholas Wade's book [Troublesome Inheritance](#), which Watson blurbbed as "a masterful overview of how changes in our respective lineages let us begin to understand how human beings have evolved." [Anthropologists, evolutionary biologists](#), and pretty much anybody with real expertise explained why the book's assumptions about race-based traits were wrong—and Wade is much more sophisticated in his thinking than Watson is.

Watson had a major insight 61 years ago about the physical structure of DNA. He is one of the founders of a very important but very specific subset of modern biology, and he devoted most of the rest of his career to the study of cancer biology. But he knows fuck all about history, human evolution, anthropology, sociology, psychology, or any rigorous study of intelligence or race.

It is a fundamental misunderstanding of how science works for him to think that his expertise at one level of analysis—a molecular level—predicts anything at a higher level of analysis. The structure of DNA does not predict the workings of a cell, which does not predict the shape of a body, which does not predict the characteristics of a culture. It's not as if the idea that people with dark skin are genetically inferior to people with light skin is some horrible secret that scientists

had been trying to hide from the world until Jim Watson came along and revealed the truth. It's simply incorrect.

Top Comment

Now if only Watson, Jenny McCarthy and the anti-Global warming guys can get together with the Koch brothers to start influencing Texas text book content, we might get a grand unified theory of how race mixing in 50's era jazz... More...

-Sam the Man

[706 Comments](#)[Join In](#)

It's a slight comfort to know that Nobel Prizes in science are awarded for a specific piece of research. They are not lifetime achievement awards, and they are not given simply to a scientist; they are always given to a scientist *for* something, in this case, to Watson, Crick, and Maurice Wilkins [“for their discoveries concerning the molecular structure of nucleic acids and its significance for information transfer in living material.”](#)

The auction of Watson's Nobel Prize, a recognition of one of the biggest triumphs in the history of science, has presumably been in the works for a long time. Watson told the *Financial Times* that selling it is a way to “re-enter public life,” and he “insisted he was ‘[not a racist in a conventional way](#),’ ” according to *FT*. Watson couldn't have known that the auction would be announced while people all over the country are protesting police brutality against blacks—people too many police officers see as [subhuman](#). But these protests are a reminder that racist ignorance is pervasive and dangerous, and that Jim Watson's bid for attention isn't just about him tarnishing his own legacy.



[Fredrick Toben](#) 2/12/2014

pending

Laura Helmuth's commentary misses the point and therefore strains political correctness as a measure of basic principles of morality and manners.

Had she not formulated this sentence, then I may have re-considered the basic thrust of her argument: 'But he knows fuck all about history, human evolution, anthropology, sociology, psychology, or any rigorous study of intelligence or race.'

She fails to note that Watson doesn't care about being politically correct or not because his quest is to find explanations that approximate as closely to the factual truth as possible, and for Watson the rest is opinion only.

I suspect by using that expletive Helmuth desperately attempts, for whatever reason, to give the subjects she lists some rigorous scientific basis, which they do not have. For me, therefore, the content of her written piece is thus another example of someone exposing their rational hubris, and where truth has no home.

http://www.slate.com/articles/health_and_science/science/2014/12/james_watson_selling_nobel_prize_dna_structure_discoverer_s_history_of_racism.html?wp_login_redirect=0

James Watson's Nobel Prize sold at auction will be returned to him

Alisher Usmanov hails Watson as one of the greatest biologists in history

The Associated Press Posted: Dec 10, 2014 9:28 AM ET



Alisher Usmanov, Russia's richest man, says he purchased James Watson's Nobel Prize medal at an auction in order to return it to him. (Maxim Shemetov/Reuters)

Russia's richest man says he has bought James D. Watson's Nobel Prize medal at Christie's in order to return it to the scientist.

- [Major moments in genetic science](#)



James Watson won the Nobel Prize in 1962 for his role in the discovery of the structure of DNA. (Christie's/The Associated Press)

Alisher Usmanov, a metal and telecommunications tycoon worth \$15.8 billion US according to *Forbes* magazine, said in remarks carried by Russian television Tuesday that when he learned that Watson was selling the medal for charity he decided to purchase it and give it back to him.

Watson's 1962 Nobel prize for the discovery of the structure of DNA sold at Christie's in a New York

auction for \$4.7 million, a world auction record for any Nobel. The auction house said it was the first Nobel medal that was offered at auction by a living recipient.

Usmanov hailed Watson one of the greatest biologists in the history of mankind.

© The Associated Press, 2014

<http://www.cbc.ca/news/world/james-watson-s-nobel-prize-sold-at-auction-will-be-returned-to-him-1.2866994>

Russian billionaire buys James Watson's DNA Nobel Prize to return it to him

Shareholder in Arsenal pays \$3m to save DNA pioneer's award after biologist puts it up for auction



By [Josie Ensor, Boston](#)
12:56AM GMT 10 Dec 2014

[154 Comments](#)



Alisher Usmanov Photo: Bongarts/Getty Images



James Watson, co-discoverer of the structure of DNA Photo: Alamy

Russia's richest man and a major stakeholder in Arsenal football club has bought James Watson's Nobel Prize award for £2.6m with the intention of giving it back to him.

Alisher Usmanov, who owns the country's biggest ore producer, bought the medal at an auction at Christie's in New York city as he wanted to make sure the double helical DNA structure stayed in the scientist's possession.

Mr Usmanov was upset to hear he would be selling it as he "deserved" the medal, and wanted to thank him

for his discovery which has helped further research into cancer, the disease which killed his own father. Mr Watson, who shared the 1962 Nobel Prize for uncovering the double helix structure of DNA, sparked an outcry in 2007 and stunned the scientific community when he suggested that people of African descent were inherently less intelligent than white people.

Mr Watson, 86, apologised but saw his income had plummeted and he was forced him to retire from the Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory on Long Island, New York. He still holds the position of chancellor emeritus there.

The billionaire, who was named Britain's wealthiest man in the Sunday Times rich list for 2013 with an estimated worth of £10.7 billion, said: "James Watson is one of the greatest biologists in the history of mankind and his award for the discovery of DNA structure must belong to him.

"Dr Watson's work contributed to cancer research, the illness from which my father died. It is important for me that the money that I spent on this medal will go to supporting scientific research, and the medal will stay with the person who deserved it."

After the unusual intervention, Mr Usmanov said that Mr Watson could now keep the 23-carat gold medal but donate the proceeds of the sale to the research institutions that helped his research.

The medal is the first to be sold by a living recipient.

Related Articles



- [James Watson to sell Nobel prize medal he won for double helix discovery](#)

27 Nov 2014

- [Nobel laureate questioned at airport over his medal](#)

12 Oct 2014

- [Letter from scientist who discovered DNA sells for \\$5.3m](#)

11 Apr 2013

- [James Watson's Nobel Prize sells for record £3m](#)

05 Dec 2014

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/science/11284192/Russian-billionaire-buys-James-Watson-s-DNA-Nobel-Prize-to-return-it-to-him.html>

Billionaire bought James Watson's Nobel prize medal in order to return it
Alisher Usmanov wants medal to remain with Watson and for money he paid for it to be donated to scientific research

[Ian Sample](#), science editor

Wednesday 10 December 2014 02.48 AEST



Alisher Usmanov: 'In my opinion, a situation in which an outstanding scientist has to sell a medal recognising his achievements is unacceptable.'

Photograph: Sasha Mordovets/Getty Images

The richest man in Russia and a major shareholder in Arsenal football club has come forward as the buyer of James Watson's Nobel medal – declaring that he now plans to give the piece back.

Alisher Usmanov, the Russian entrepreneur, [paid \\$4.1m \(£2.6m\) for the medal at an auction at Christie's in New York city last week](#), but said he will return it to Watson, who with Francis Crick and Maurice Wilkins, shared the 1962 Nobel prize in medicine for discovering the double helical structure of DNA.

Usmanov, whose steel, mining and other assets are worth \$15bn according to Forbes, said he wanted the medal to remain with its rightful owner and for the money he spent on the item to be donated to scientific research.

"In my opinion, a situation in which an outstanding scientist has to sell a medal recognising his achievements is unacceptable," Usmanov said in a statement.

"James Watson is one of the greatest biologists in the history of mankind and his award for the discovery of DNA structure must belong to him," he added. Watson became the first living laureate to auction his Nobel medal in a sale that earned far more than the \$3m that some experts had predicted. Last year, the family of Francis Crick, who died in 2004, sold his medal for \$2.27m.

Before the auction, Watson said he was selling the medal to raise money for the Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory in Long Island, from where he was suspended as chancellor after he [claimed that black people were not as intelligent as whites](#).

Watson has been [widely condemned](#) for making sexist remarks too. He also raised the possibility of using the funds to buy a Hockney painting.

Crick and Watson's discovery of the double helix structure of DNA drew heavily on the crystallography work of Rosalind Franklin at Kings College London. Franklin died of cancer in 1958, four years before the Nobel prize was awarded.

After the unusual intervention, Usmanov said that Watson could now keep the medal but donate the proceeds of the sale to the research institutions that had "nurtured him", including the universities of Cambridge, Chicago and Indiana.

"Dr Watson's work contributed to cancer research, the illness from which my father died. It is important for me that the money that I spent on this medal will go to supporting scientific research, and the medal will stay with the person who deserved it. I wouldn't like the medal of the distinguished scientist to be an object on sale," Usmanov's statement said.

<http://www.theguardian.com/science/2014/dec/09/russian-billionaire-usmanov-james-watson-nobel-prize-return-scientist>

Mossad tried twice to kill him

Alois Brunner, most-wanted Nazi, died 'unrepentant' in Syria

Nazi hunter says Eichmann's 'best man' sent 128,000 Jews to camps, then advised Assad regime on SS torture methods

By [Marissa Newman](#) November 30, 2014, 7:52 pm 29



SS captain Alois Brunner (screen capture: YouTube)

Related Topics - [Nazi](#) - [Nazi hunters](#) - [Adolf Eichmann](#)

The world's most wanted Nazi criminal, Adolf Eichmann's second-in-command, died four years ago in Syria at the age of 98, the Simon Wiesenthal Center said Sunday, citing the testimony of a former German secret service agent deployed in the Middle East.

SS captain Alois Brunner, described by Eichmann as his "best man," was responsible for the deportation of 128,500 Jews to the death camps. After the war in

the 1950s, Brunner fled to Syria where he reportedly served as a government adviser to president Hafez Assad and is thought to have instructed the regime on torture tactics. He survived two Mossad assassination attempts, and went to his grave utterly "unrepentant," according to Nazi hunter Efraim Zuroff. "We have received information from a former German secret service agent who had served in the Middle East who said that Brunner was dead and buried in Damascus," Zuroff told [The Sunday Express on Sunday](#).

"Given his age it would not be surprising and the information came from someone who we consider reliable."

Due to the ongoing Syrian civil war, the precise location of Brunner's grave remains uncertain.

Brunner managed to flee Germany due to an identity mix-up that saw fellow SS member Anton Brunner prosecuted and hanged for his crimes. In 1954, using a fake Red Cross passport, Brunner traveled to Rome and later Egypt, where he rented a room from a

Jewish family. In 1985 [he said they were](#) "quite nice people, really."



SS captain Alois Brunner as a young man (screen capture: YouTube)

After arriving in Syria under the pseudonym of Dr. Georg Fischer, Brunner was said to serve as an adviser to Assad on torture methods, though the information has not been confirmed. The Syrian government shielded Brunner from the various extradition orders.

"He was involved in the harsh treatment of the Jewish community of Syria and was an expert in terror and torture," Zuroff said. "He said himself his one regret was he did not kill more Jews. He was unrepentant."

Brunner was injured in two separate attempts on his life by the Mossad in 1961 and 1980.



Efraim Zuroff in 2009 photo: Yossi Zamir/Flash 90

"He lived under the false name of Georg Fischer but his presence was no secret. He actually received two letter bombs, apparently from Mossad. He lost three fingers and an eye," Zuroff said.

According to the Simon Wiesenthal Center, Brunner organized the deportations of 47,000 Austrian Jews, 44,000 Greek Jews, 23,500 French Jews, and 14,000 Slovakian Jews to the concentration camps. "The majority were murdered," Zuroff said.

"Among Third Reich criminals still alive, Alois Brunner is undoubtedly the worst. In my eyes, he was the worst ever," Nazi hunter Simon Wiesenthal wrote in his memoirs. "While Adolf Eichmann drew up the general staff plan for the extermination of the Jews, Alois Brunner implemented it."

<http://www.timesofisrael.com/alois-brunner-most-wanted-nazi-died-unrepentantinsyria/#ixzz3KdLtGx8v>

Barbaric slaughter or historic ritual?

More than 5,000 buffaloes killed as world's largest animal sacrifice begins

[Associated Press](#) and [Washington Post](#) | November 28, 2014 | Last Updated: Nov 28 3:16 PM ET



ROBERTO SCHMIDT/AFP/Getty Images A butcher walks with a bloodied blade as he looks for an animal to kill during a mass slaughter of buffaloes for the Gadhimai festival inside a walled enclosure in the village of Bariyapur on Friday.

Warning: Contains graphic images

A festival believed to be the largest animal sacrifice ritual in the world began Friday in southern Nepal, where devotees believe the sacrifices bring good luck and a Hindu goddess will grant their wishes.

In the fields outside a temple before dawn, a priest dropped five drops of his own blood and sacrificed a rat, chicken, pigeon, goat, and pig to start the festival. More than 5,000 buffaloes were ritually killed during the day.

Many other animals will be killed during the two-day festival at Gadhima temple in the jungles of Bara district about 160 kilometres south of Katmandu.

Organizers and the authorities defend the festival held every five years as a generations-old tradition, though animal rights activists say it is barbaric. During the 2009 festival, an estimated 200,000 animals and birds were sacrificed.

Animal activists have decried the event, which attracts thousands of devotees from Nepal as well as close-by regions of India. Gadhima is the Hindu goddess of power, and it is believed sacrificing an animal in her honour will bring prosperity. Many of the animals — most of which are babies — are brought illegally over the border from India.

Last month, India's Supreme Court ordered the government to ensure that no live cattle or buffalo were exported out of India and into Nepal without licence, and its Ministry of Home Affairs directed its

border patrol to ensure that "the movement of cattle for sacrifice during Gadhima Mela [Fair] be stopped." So far, activists said, more than 2,000 animals have been seized along the India and Nepal border, and 100 people arrested.

"It's madness, it's really madness," said N.G. Jayasimha, director of the Humane Society International of India, who is at the temple site this week.

"There are no roads, no infrastructure, not a single public bus, no sanitation and no drinking water. There are human feces everywhere. A number of people have come, and everybody is carrying an animal to be sacrificed."

Related

- [Activists outraged as more than a million people descend on Nepalese temple for world's largest animal sacrifice](#)
- [Flip flops and \\$16 a day for a Himalayan trek: Guides died because they were so poorly equipped, Nepal says](#)

Jayasimha said that activists think there has been a drop in attendance in the festival this year over five years ago, when an estimated 5 million people attended. Jayasimha said he thought border control efforts and public awareness campaigns in Nepal and India may have been having some effect. Yet the festival remains a public health concern.



ROBERTO SCHMIDT/AFP/Getty Images A Hindu devotee holds up a dove before releasing it during the Gadhima festival at the main temple in the village of Bariyarpur on Thursday.



Omar Havana /AFP/Getty Images A young woman stands after ringing copper bells inside the temple during the celebration of the Gadhima Festival on Thursday in Bariyarpur, Nepal.



Omar Havana/Getty Images A devotee stands holding a traditional kukri knife after sacrificing several goats outside the temple area during the celebration of the Gadhima festival on Friday in Bariyarpur, Nepal.



ROBERTO SCHMIDT/AFP/Getty Images A butcher raises his blade over a buffalo calf before killing it during a mass slaughter of buffaloes for the Gadhima festival inside a walled enclosure in the village of Bariyarpur on Friday.



ROBERTO SCHMIDT/AFP/Getty Images Hindu devotees attending celebrations of the Gadhima festival watch butchers brandish khukris (traditional Nepalese knives) from a tree, in an effort to get a better view of the first sacrifices, in the village of Bariyapur on Thursday.



Omar Havana/Getty Images A group of devotees elevate as a blessing their traditional kukri knives before the beginning of the animal sacrifices during the celebration of the Gadhima festival on Friday in Bariyapur, Nepal.



AP Photo/Sunil Sharma A butcher stands beside slaughtered buffaloes during a mass sacrifice ceremony at Gadhima temple in the jungles of Bara district Friday.



AP Photo/Sunil Sharma Butchers stand by hundreds of slaughtered buffaloes during a mass sacrifice ceremony at Gadhima temple in the jungles of Bara district Friday.



ROBERTO SCHMIDT/AFP/Getty Images Hindu devotees look from behind a fence as they try to get a look at priests getting ready to perform an animal sacrifice during the Gadhima festival in the village of Bariyarpur on Friday.



AP Photo/Sunil Sharma A butcher slaughters a buffalo during a mass sacrifice ceremony at Gadhima temple in the jungles of Bara district, Friday.



ROBERTO SCHMIDT/AFP/Getty Images A butcher wields his kukri, a traditional Nepalese knife, over a buffalo calf right before severing its head during a mass slaughter of buffaloes for the Gadhima festival inside a walled enclosure in the village of Bariyapur on Friday.



Omar Havana/Getty ImagesA devotee slaughters a water buffalo during the celebration of the Gadhima festival on Friday in Bariyarpur, Nepal.



ROBERTO SCHMIDT/AFP/Getty ImagesA Hindu devotee carries a goat as he and his family walk toward the village of Barayarpur where millions gathered to celebrate the Gadhima festival on Thursday.



ROBERTO SCHMIDT/AFP/Getty Images Hindu devotees sleep under a tree overnight in the village of Baryarpur while attending the Gadhima festival on Thursday.

<http://news.nationalpost.com/2014/11/28/barbaric-slaughter-or-historic-ritual-more-than-5000-buffaloes-killed-as-worlds-largest-animal-sacrifice-begins/>

Woman who SURVIVED Auschwitz because Nazis ran out of gas turns 101

- * Klara Markus, 100, survived three Holocaust concentration camps
- * She escaped Auschwitz gas chambers because Nazis ran out of gas
- * The Romanian mother-of-two is preparing for her 101st birthday

By [SARA MALM FOR MAILONLINE](#)

PUBLISHED: 00:17 AEST, 11 December 2014 | UPDATED: 01:43 AEST, 11 December 2014

A Jewish woman who escaped the gas chambers of Auschwitz is preparing to celebrate her 101st birthday. Klara Markus, 100, from Sighetu Marmației, in Maramureș in northern Romania, survived three Holocaust concentration camps before the Second World War was over.

Mrs Markus, who had been imprisoned in Dachau and Ravensbrück before being sent to Auschwitz, survived the notorious death camp in Poland because the Nazis ran out of gas.

Mrs Markus was born Klara Schongut, on New Years Eve 1913, in Carei, Satu Mare County.

In August 1942, she was deported to a Jewish ghetto in Budapest, Hungary where she started work in an umbrella factory.

'My mother and older sisters were taken directly to Auschwitz. I never saw them again,' Klara Markus told a [Romanian newspaper](#) in 2010.

'When I asked about them, SS members replied shortly: "Maybe, you should search for them in the smoke or ashes!" and they laughed.'

The mother-of-two remained in Budapest for another two years, before the Nazis ordered the remaining Jews in the city to march toward the concentration camps.

After a month-long march, Mrs Markus arrived at Dachau on October 20, 1944, and one week later she was sent to the notorious women's' camp in Ravensbrück, before being transported to Auschwitz.

'I passed through all the camps on the German territory. The conditions were the same all over the places.'

'I was falling asleep with tears in my eyes, missing my mother, my sisters. I got accustomed with the hunger, but not with the pain in my soul.'

'Everyday we were humiliated, tortured, I was surrounded by death and lot of dirt, especially the one from our perpetrators' souls,' she said in the 2010 interview.



Inspiration: Holocaust survivor Klara Markus, from northern Romania, who escaped three Nazi concentration camps, is getting ready to celebrate her 101st birthday



Incredible: Mrs Markus was forced on a one-month march to Dachau after the Nazis emptied the Budapest ghetto, after which she was also imprisoned in Ravensbruck before being sent to Auschwitz

Shortly before the evacuation and subsequent liberation of Auschwitz in January 1945, Mrs Markus, then 30 years old and weighing around 70lbs (32kg), was sent to the gas chambers.

She said: 'I was chosen towards the end of the day with a large group of other women and we were made ready for the gas chamber.'

But when they put us inside and went to turn the gas on, they found they had run out.

'One of the guards joked that it was our lucky day because they had already killed so many they didn't have any gas left for us.'

'God was watching over me that day.'

Mrs Markus says her narrow escape made her realise that she had nothing to lose and she managed to escape Auschwitz.

She returned to Romania to discover that her entire family had died during the war, and proceeded to rebuild her life which is when she met her husband, Dr Andrei Markus.



Mrs Markus, who returned to her home country after she escaped Auschwitz, kisses the Romanian flag

In celebration of her upcoming birthday, government representative Anton Rohian turned up at her home.

He said: 'I brought you a bunch of flowers, a bottle of champagne and an excellency diploma to thank you because you've returned to Maramures after all you've been through.'

'It's important not to forget what happened in the past.'

Kissing the Romanian flag, Mrs Markus said: 'I've had terrible experiences in my life, but this is a wonderful moment.'

Survivors of Auschwitz gather to commemorate anniversary



Read more:

* [Klara Markus a supraviețuit la Auschwitz salvată de o pană de gaz | adevarul.ro](#)

RELATED ARTICLES



[Cameron pays first visit to Auschwitz with warning that we...](#)



[Former SS officer, 89, will NOT be charged with mass murder...](#)

<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2868386/Woman-SURVIVED-gas-chamber-turns-101-Auschwitz-victim-lived-room-ran-gas-celebrates-birthday.html?ito=social-facebook>

Counter-terrorism conference in Syria

By Jim W. Dean, Mon Dec 1, 2014 5:21AM



Damaged cars are seen on November 29, 2014 near Mursitpinar crossing gate between Syria and Turkey after car bombs attacks by ISIL.



US-led raids 'fail to halt ISIL advances'



Barrett: ISIL Israel's mercenary army

The first day of the Counter Terrorism and Religious Extremism Conference has just ended midnight November, 30th as I am proofing this. About sixty foreign participants are attending, including eight from the US, and four of those from Veterans Today, namely Colonel Jim Hanke, along with the Press TV regulars Gordon Duff, Mike Harris and myself. Iran is attending, too... having earned its ticket through its 16,000 terror attack victims, which most American know nothing about.

We had a harrowing ride up from Beirut, where speed is considered a safety enhancement for avoiding problems. The drivers were top notch, but I admit there were times I just closed my eyes, easy to do when you are half asleep anyway. We arrived in Damascus at midnight after 26 hours of travel and tried to get some sleep before starting this morning with the 240 other delegates from Syria plus guests.

There was a big media presence, including Press TV of course, but CNN, Fox News and ABC were not present.

Security was as heavy as when I was here in June for the elections, with the block around the Dama Rose Hotel sealed off. AK-47s are as common site here as English umbrellas on a rainy day in London. The big difference I noticed right away was no shelling in the distance. The army has pushed the terror brigades further back from the city while ISIL continues its big move to carve off northern Syria for its proposed "caliphate," the one for which there was no election.

The three sessions for today included a wide array of speakers from all segments of Syria, and from some regional countries including Turkey. Its attendees voiced growing concern that Erdogan's support for the war against Syria is going to spread the militancy to Turkey. Despite its huge and powerful military, it is walking a knife edge by supporting the terror brigades against Assad while pretending to be anti-ISIL. Turkey has been ISIL's main logistics supply line. We have seen the video to prove it.

I must admit that I was worrying about an extended rehash of material that those of us who have been following the Syria carnage have been living for several years now. The day began with the obligatory short documentary of the slaughter that the Takfiris have inflicted on the Syria people, compliments of the US, the Arab states of the Persian Gulf and a few other former colonialist European players. The dead must be honored to not be forgotten.

By the time we got through the first session I could see that the presenters had been aware that bringing so many people in from so far away for a big "review session" would be an unsuccessful conference. There was a general thread and focus to all the speakers' presentations... "what do we have to do now to put the wooden stake through the heart of the Takfiri werewolves." Coexistence is not viewed as an option.

I personally feel that the terrorists and their supporters must be counter-attacked from 360 degrees, because the piecemeal approach can be staved off indefinitely as the terror funders will never run out of money. The Persian Gulf state wallets are quite thick, and the Western supporters have taxpayer pockets to pick. I found out today that I was not alone in that thinking.

At the top of the full assault list today was breaking the almost worldwide mass media blackout on what has really happened in Syria regarding the crimes against humanity under the Neo-American black flag of regime change, where the end justifies the means. No one reading this is a stranger to that ongoing historical terror.

Since we have no budget to be corporate raiders to buy out the Murdoch media empires of the world, we have to focus on the tools that we do have. The main ones included the alternative media where we have made great gains. Press TV has been clawing its way back out of the sanctions rabbit hole, and Veterans Today has seen a huge growth in its radio audience

over the last few months with 5 million viewers a week now.

But many of these current people are the very self-motivated internet hungry truth searchers. We have gotten many of them with a lot of indirect help from mass media, which have been lying to them for so long now that you have to be somewhat comatose to realize they have turned themselves into propaganda of some selected governments, and even non-government bodies.

What we have to do now is the grunt work of building people-to-people bridges to increase awareness of the threat that this Western use of terrorism in its foreign policy is in itself a national security threat to all of us at home. There have been many signs of pre-staging what "they" are planning to do. The PR arms of the various defense and intel orgs have been prepping us through constant mentions of home-grown terrorists returning from their overseas training to duplicate their mayhem at home.

But think about that for a moment. We have been living in total surveillance countries for over a decade now. There seem to be lists for all the suspected homegrown donated terrorists, so what is the big deal about not being able to control them versus those still in the incubator system?

I fear they are making the case to let some through when they want to, and cover themselves by reminding us that they had been warning us about that for years. It's an old psy-ops scam, one used over and over during the Cold War. Fear has many names, and wears a new cloak whenever needed.

I was also impressed by the religious leaders. They are ready to take the phony Takfiri Muslims on in open combat. And what impressed me more is they have been smart enough to not make this a Muslim-only party. They want a full interfaith outreach to rub shoulders with the other religions.

This is a brilliant and brave idea. It even includes praying in non-traditional Muslim environments, including Christian churches. This is an incredible breakthrough, and it does not require everyone to do it as it is a powerful symbolic act by itself. The old saying "big things often have small beginnings" came to mind to me immediately.

Westerners need to see another side to Islam that Western media have been hiding while it has promoted Muslim fear mongering extensively as that serves their real divide-and-conquer goals. And this also made me remember a video event from my Heritage TV archives.

I had a short notice opportunity about eight years ago to video an unknown Marine general speaking to the Navy League in Atlanta. I am a member of the Military Order of World Wars via my father being a WWII fighter pilot, and we have many Navy League cross members.

The general was a rare bird, starting with being a reserve officer who had a multi-million software development business in California, where he had a ranch on which all of this extended family lived, and where the children all attended an 1800's-style Western school house, that is after their horse rides to school.

But he was also fluent in Arabic and a Koranic scholar, the only one the Marines had. He had pulled a long tour in Iraq, where he was cleaning up the prison system mess, also interviewing over 2000 jihadis. He also developed a deprogramming process for them and a "no release without getting them a job" program which reduced their recidivism to incredible 10% rate.

He gave the expected Power Point presentation with numbers, graphs and charts. But I have shared this story today because of his closing line he had saved as a shocker to everyone there. He made a big fanfare of stating that the US could never defeat militant Islam with military power alone. The arithmetic simply did not work out, and generals are very good at math.

He said, "Only moderate Islam can defeat militant Islam... and our big challenge is how we can assist them without undermining them." By that, he was referring to the moderate Islamists not being tagged as puppets of the Western crusaders. The audience was stunned, and he was expecting that. He repeated his punch line again to drive home the message that, "Yes, I really did just say that."

So that is what those of us who want to help need to keep carefully in mind... about not hurting the effort, as that item can often be overlooked. Step number one is to do the needed liaison work with our Muslim communities to make sure we have a joint approach that has been carefully vetted to avoid the foot in mouth disease.

We are late in the game now. Many have died, the wounded cannot be counted, or even the million of refugees, including those facing their third winter in tents. This is not a time, folks, for the trial-and-error approach. We need to work smarter, and learn from the mistakes of others. The message today was we simply have to do a better job, an honest admission and a good place to start a new beginning.

JD/HJL



Jim W. Dean

Columnist

Bio

Jim W. Dean comes from an old military family dating back to the American Revolution. His father was a WWII P-40 and later P-51 Mustang fighter pilot. Jim's mother was a WWII widow at 16, her first husband killed with all 580 aboard when the SS Paul Hamilton, an ammunition ship with 7000 tons of explosives aboard, was torpedoed off the coast of Algiers. He has appeared on PBS most recently on the Looking for Lincoln documentary with Prof. Henry Lewis Gates and lectured at the Army Command and General Staff School at Fort Gordon. His current writing focus is on national security, intelligence, black and psyops, military/Intel history including personal video archives, and the current wars. Jim Dean is the managing editor of Veterans Today.

* [More articles by Jim W. Dean](#)

* <http://www.presstv.ir/detail/2014/12/01/388246/counterterrorism-conference-in-syria/>

Ursula Haverbeck

An die Staatsanwaltschaft
Bielefeld
Am Niedernwall 71
33602 Bielefeld

20.11.2014

Betr.: Anzeige gegen den Zentralrat der Juden in Deutschland

(Tücholskystraße 9, 10117 Berlin)

Begründung:

Der Zentralrat der Juden in Deutschland wird von mir, Ursula Haverbeck-Wetzel, geb. 8.XI. 1928, angeklagt:

Wegen Verfolgung Unschuldiger nach Paragraph 344 StGB.

Bei einer Vielzahl von Verfahren ist der Eindruck entstanden, daß Falschaussagen vor Gericht hingenommen werden, ohne sachliche Prüfung.

Alle durchschnittlich zweitausend Prozesse pro Jahr nach Paragraph 130 StGB basieren auf dem fragwürdig gewordenen Vorwurf der Holocaust-Leugnung,

Daß es bei diesen Verfahren nicht um eine Wahrheitsermittlung ging und geht, ergibt sich schon daraus, daß nahezu alle Beweisanträge abgelehnt wurden.

Da der Zentralrat auf wiederholte Nachfrage keinen forensisch begründeten Nachweis für die Ermordung, von den bis heute nicht öffentlich widerrufenen sechs Millionen, vorgelegt hat, kann nicht mehr davon ausgegangen werden.

Ganz unabhängig davon ist der von mir verwendete Begriff „Auschwitz-Lüge“ berechtigt, denn die jahrzehntelange Anklage gegen die Deutschen, dort vier Millionen Juden vergast zu haben, war eine falsche Behauptung. Zudem hat sich inzwischen herausgestellt, daß all diejenigen Recht hatten, die Auschwitz ein Arbeitslager für die Rüstungsindustrie nannten. Es war also kein Vernichtungslager, wie vom Zentralrat der Juden bis heute behauptet wird.

Jeder Holocaust-Prozeß ist Beweis für die Berechtigung meiner Strafanzeige.

Der Zentralrat der Juden in Deutschland ist stellvertretend für den Weltjudenrat zur Verantwortung zu ziehen.

Hochachtungsvoll

(Ursula Haverbeck)